Learning Gaussian Covariance Robustly

Daniel M. Kane

Departments of CS/Math University of California, San Diego dakane dakane@ucsd.edu

August 14th, 2018

D, Kane (UCSD)

Robust Covariance

August, 2018 1 / 20

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

Covariance

Yesterday, we discussed how to learn the mean of a Gaussian with known covariance matrix even under adversarial noise. Today we will discuss how to learn the covariance matrix.

Outline

- Problem Setup
- Rough Estimates
- Refined Estimates
- Mean and Covariance

A (1) > A (2) > A

Basic Problem

- Consider $G = N(\mu, \Sigma) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.
- Given N samples, ϵ -fraction adversarially corrupted.
- Learn approximations to μ, Σ .

.

Known Mean

For the moment, we will work with the simplifying assumption that we know the mean μ (we remove this assumption later).

(日)

Known Mean

For the moment, we will work with the simplifying assumption that we know the mean μ (we remove this assumption later).

By translation, we can assume that $\mu = 0$.

A (1) > A (2) > A (2)

How closely can we expect to learn Σ ?

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

How closely can we expect to learn Σ ? Can't learn *G* to better than ϵ total variation.

.

How closely can we expect to learn Σ ? Can't learn *G* to better than ϵ total variation.

 $d_{TV}(N(0,\Sigma), N(0,\Sigma')) = \Theta(\min(1, \|\Sigma^{-1/2}\Sigma'\Sigma^{-1/2} - I\|_F)),$

where

$$\|A\|_F^2 = \sum_{i,j} A_{i,j}^2.$$

A (1) > A (2) > A

How closely can we expect to learn Σ ? Can't learn *G* to better than ϵ total variation.

$$d_{\mathcal{T}\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{N}(0,\Sigma),\mathcal{N}(0,\Sigma')) = \Theta(\min(1,\|\Sigma^{-1/2}\Sigma'\Sigma^{-1/2}-I\|_{\mathcal{F}})),$$

where

$$\|A\|_F^2 = \sum_{i,j} A_{i,j}^2.$$

Hope get estimate $\hat{\Sigma}$ so that:

$$\|\Sigma^{-1/2}\hat{\Sigma}\Sigma^{-1/2}-I\|_{F}=\tilde{O}(\epsilon).$$

Basic Technique

Learning the mean of a Gaussian is equivalent to

- Learning $\mathbb{E}[L(G)]$ for degree-1 polynomials *L*.
- Learning the first moments of G.

→ ∃ →

Basic Technique

Learning the mean of a Gaussian is equivalent to

- Learning $\mathbb{E}[L(G)]$ for degree-1 polynomials *L*.
- Learning the first moments of *G*.

Learning the covariance of a mean 0 Gaussian is equivalent to:

- Learning $\mathbb{E}[p(G)]$ for even, degree-2 polynomials p.
- Learning the second moments of G.
- Learning $\mathbb{E}[GG^T]$.

Basic Technique

Learning the mean of a Gaussian is equivalent to

- Learning $\mathbb{E}[L(G)]$ for degree-1 polynomials *L*.
- Learning the first moments of G.

Learning the covariance of a mean 0 Gaussian is equivalent to:

- Learning $\mathbb{E}[p(G)]$ for even, degree-2 polynomials p.
- Learning the second moments of G.
- Learning $\mathbb{E}[GG^T]$.

We will use the last of these formulations.

We have reduced the problem to robustly estimating the mean of the n^2 -dimensional random variable $X = GG^T$. Since $Cov(G) = \Sigma = \mathbb{E}[X]$.

We have reduced the problem to robustly estimating the mean of the n^2 -dimensional random variable $X = GG^T$. Since $Cov(G) = \Sigma = \mathbb{E}[X]$.

Let $\Sigma = \text{Cov}(X)$. If $\Sigma \ll I_{n^2}$, can learn X to L^2 error (and thus, Σ to Frobenius error) $O(\sqrt{\epsilon})$.

We have reduced the problem to robustly estimating the mean of the n^2 -dimensional random variable $X = GG^T$. Since $Cov(G) = \Sigma = \mathbb{E}[X]$.

Let $\Sigma = \text{Cov}(X)$. If $\Sigma \ll I_{n^2}$, can learn X to L^2 error (and thus, Σ to Frobenius error) $O(\sqrt{\epsilon})$.

So, what is Σ ?

Computing $\pmb{\Sigma}$

Suppose that y_i are an orthonormal basis of linear functions of G.
 Cov(y_i, y_i) = δ_{i,j}

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Computing Σ

- Suppose that y_i are an orthonormal basis of linear functions of G.
 Cov(y_i, y_j) = δ_{i,j}
- y_iy_j(i ≠ j) and (y_i² − 1)/√2 form an orthonormal basis for even degree-2 polynomials of G.

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ

Computing Σ

- Suppose that y_i are an orthonormal basis of linear functions of G.
 Cov(y_i, y_j) = δ_{i,j}
- y_iy_j(i ≠ j) and (y_i² − 1)/√2 form an orthonormal basis for even degree-2 polynomials of G.
- For matrix A,

$$\begin{aligned} A^{\textit{flat}} \mathbf{\Sigma} A^{\textit{flat}} &= \operatorname{Var}(A \cdot X) = \operatorname{Var}(G^{\mathsf{T}} A G) \\ &= 2 \left\| \Sigma^{1/2} \left(\frac{A + A^{\mathsf{T}}}{2} \right) \Sigma^{1/2} \right\|_{\mathsf{F}}^2 \end{aligned}$$

D, Kane (UCSD)

.

- 4 回 ト 4 ヨ ト 4 ヨ ト

Computing Σ

- Suppose that y_i are an orthonormal basis of linear functions of G.
 Cov(y_i, y_j) = δ_{i,j}
- y_iy_j(i ≠ j) and (y_i² − 1)/√2 form an orthonormal basis for even degree-2 polynomials of G.
- For matrix A,

$$A^{\textit{flat}} \mathbf{\Sigma} A^{\textit{flat}} = \operatorname{Var}(A \cdot X) = \operatorname{Var}(G^{\mathsf{T}} A G)$$
$$= 2 \left\| \Sigma^{1/2} \left(\frac{A + A^{\mathsf{T}}}{2} \right) \Sigma^{1/2} \right\|_{\mathsf{F}}^{2}$$

So, for example, if $\Sigma \leq I$, $\Sigma \ll I$.

.

Bootstrapping

- To learn Σ , need to learn $\mathbb{E}[X]$ robustly.
- Can learn $\mathbb{E}[X]$ robustly, if we have an upper bound on Σ .
- Can find Σ if we know Σ .

→ < ∃ →</p>

Bootstrapping

- To learn Σ , need to learn $\mathbb{E}[X]$ robustly.
- Can learn $\mathbb{E}[X]$ robustly, if we have an upper bound on Σ .
- Can find Σ if we know Σ .

Bootstrap better and better approximations to Σ !

Upper Bounds

Critical Point: If $\Sigma \leq \Sigma_0$, then $\Sigma \leq \Sigma_0$, i.e.

$$2\left\|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{1/2}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{A}+\boldsymbol{A}^{T}}{2}\right)\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{1/2}\right\|_{F}^{2} \leq 2\left\|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}^{1/2}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{A}+\boldsymbol{A}^{T}}{2}\right)\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}^{1/2}\right\|_{F}^{2}$$

for all A.

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

Upper Bounds

Critical Point: If $\Sigma \leq \Sigma_0$, then $\Sigma \leq \Sigma_0$, i.e.

$$2\left\|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{1/2}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{A}+\boldsymbol{A}^{T}}{2}\right)\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{1/2}\right\|_{F}^{2} \leq 2\left\|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}^{1/2}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{A}+\boldsymbol{A}^{T}}{2}\right)\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}^{1/2}\right\|_{F}^{2}$$

for all A.

So if $\Sigma \leq \Sigma_0$, then $\operatorname{Cov}(\Sigma_0^{-1/2} X \Sigma_0^{-1/2}) \ll I_{n^2}$. Can get estimate $\hat{\Sigma}$ with $\left\| \Sigma_0^{-1/2} \left(\hat{\Sigma} - \Sigma \right) \Sigma_0^{-1/2} \right\|_F = O(\sqrt{\epsilon}).$

Upper Bounds

Critical Point: If $\Sigma \leq \Sigma_0$, then $\Sigma \leq \Sigma_0$, i.e.

$$2\left\|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{1/2}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{A}+\boldsymbol{A}^{T}}{2}\right)\boldsymbol{\Sigma}^{1/2}\right\|_{F}^{2} \leq 2\left\|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}^{1/2}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{A}+\boldsymbol{A}^{T}}{2}\right)\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{0}^{1/2}\right\|_{F}^{2}$$

for all A.

So if
$$\Sigma \leq \Sigma_0$$
, then $\operatorname{Cov}(\Sigma_0^{-1/2} X \Sigma_0^{-1/2}) \ll I_{n^2}$. Can get estimate $\hat{\Sigma}$ with
 $\left\| \Sigma_0^{-1/2} \left(\hat{\Sigma} - \Sigma \right) \Sigma_0^{-1/2} \right\|_F = O(\sqrt{\epsilon}).$

So $\hat{\Sigma} = \Sigma + O(\sqrt{\epsilon})\Sigma_0$.

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

- Start with some upper bound $\Sigma_0 \ge \Sigma$ (twice the sample covariance works with high probability).
- Get approximation $\hat{\Sigma}_0.$

- Start with some upper bound $\Sigma_0 \ge \Sigma$ (twice the sample covariance works with high probability).
- Get approximation $\hat{\Sigma}_0$.
- Use $\Sigma_1 = \hat{\Sigma}_0 + C\sqrt{\epsilon}\Sigma_0$ as new upper bound.
- Get approximation $\hat{\Sigma}_1$.

- Start with some upper bound $\Sigma_0 \ge \Sigma$ (twice the sample covariance works with high probability).
- Get approximation $\hat{\Sigma}_0$.
- Use $\Sigma_1 = \hat{\Sigma}_0 + C \sqrt{\epsilon} \Sigma_0$ as new upper bound.
- Get approximation $\hat{\Sigma}_1$.
- Use $\Sigma_2 = \hat{\Sigma}_1 + C \sqrt{\epsilon} \Sigma_1$ as new upper bound.

- Start with some upper bound $\Sigma_0 \ge \Sigma$ (twice the sample covariance works with high probability).
- Get approximation $\hat{\Sigma}_0$.
- Use $\Sigma_1 = \hat{\Sigma}_0 + C \sqrt{\epsilon} \Sigma_0$ as new upper bound.
- Get approximation $\hat{\Sigma}_1$.
- Use $\Sigma_2 = \hat{\Sigma}_1 + C \sqrt{\epsilon} \Sigma_1$ as new upper bound.

• . . .

Have $\Sigma_{i+1} \leq \Sigma + O(\sqrt{\epsilon})\Sigma_i$. Eventually get $\Sigma_{\infty} \leq \Sigma(1 + O(\sqrt{\epsilon}))$, and $\hat{\Sigma}$ with

$$\|\Sigma^{-1/2}\hat{\Sigma}\Sigma^{-1/2}-I\|_{F}=O(\sqrt{\epsilon}).$$

Error Idea

- Have error $O(\sqrt{\epsilon})$.
 - Best possible using only bounds on Cov(X).

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Error Idea

- Have error $O(\sqrt{\epsilon})$.
 - Best possible using only bounds on Cov(X).
- Hope to do better given:
 - Accurate approximation to Cov(X).
 - Tail bounds for X.

Image: A Image: A

Error Idea

- Have error $O(\sqrt{\epsilon})$.
 - Best possible using only bounds on Cov(X).
- Hope to do better given:
 - Accurate approximation to Cov(X).
 - Tail bounds for X.

Simplifying Assumption: $\Sigma \approx I$.

• • = • • =

Concentration

Standard Result: If p is a degree-2 polynomial with Var(p(G)) = O(1), then

 $\Pr(|p(G) - \mathbb{E}[p(G)]| > T) = O(\exp(-\Omega(T))).$

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

Concentration

Standard Result: If *p* is a degree-2 polynomial with Var(p(G)) = O(1), then

$$\Pr(|p(G) - \mathbb{E}[p(G)]| > T) = O(\exp(-\Omega(T))).$$

Therefore, X has exponential concentration about its mean in any direction.

• Know $Cov(X) = C + O(\delta)$ [error in operator norm]

A D N A B N A B N A B N

Setup

- Know $Cov(X) = C + O(\delta)$ [error in operator norm]
- Cov(Good Samples) = $C + O(\delta + \epsilon \log^2(1/\epsilon))$.

- 4 E

Image: A match a ma

Setup

- Know $\operatorname{Cov}(X) = C + O(\delta)$ [error in operator norm]
- Cov(Good Samples) = $C + O(\delta + \epsilon \log^2(1/\epsilon))$.
- If Sample covariance at most $C + O(\delta + \epsilon \log^2(1/\epsilon))$, then sample mean accurate to error $O(\sqrt{\epsilon\delta} + \epsilon \log(1/\epsilon))$.

Algorithm

To approximate $\mathbb{E}[X]$:

- Compute sample covariance matrix \hat{C}
- **②** Find largest eigenvalue of $\hat{C} C$
 - If none, larger than $O(\delta + \epsilon \log^2(1/\epsilon))$, return sample mean.
- \bigcirc Otherwise, eigenvector v with large eigenvalue.
 - ► Variance in that direction is more than \(\epsilon\) log²(1/\(\epsilon\)) larger than it should be due to \(O(\epsilon\))-fraction of errors.
 - Most of these errors at distance much more than $\log(1/\epsilon)$ from mean.
 - Few good samples this far out.
 - Create filter.
- Apply filter to samples and return to step 1.

If we had an approximation to Σ with error $O(\delta)$, can obtain one with error $O(\sqrt{\delta\epsilon} + \epsilon \log(1/\epsilon))$.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

- If we had an approximation to Σ with error $O(\delta)$, can obtain one with error $O(\sqrt{\delta\epsilon} + \epsilon \log(1/\epsilon))$.
- Iterate, until we get approximation with error $O(\epsilon \log(1/\epsilon))$.

Combine

- Yesterday: If $\Sigma = I$, robustly learn μ .
- Today: If $\mu = 0$, robustly learn Σ .

• • • • • • • • • • • •

Combine

- Yesterday: If $\Sigma = I$, robustly learn μ .
- Today: If $\mu = 0$, robustly learn Σ .
- Question: What if neither Σ nor μ is known?

→ ∢ ∃



• Consider differences of pairs of samples $G_{2i} - G_{2i+1}$.

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >



- Consider differences of pairs of samples $G_{2i} G_{2i+1}$.
- Distributed as $N(0, 2\Sigma)$, with 2ϵ error.

Trick

- Consider differences of pairs of samples $G_{2i} G_{2i+1}$.
- Distributed as $N(0, 2\Sigma)$, with 2ϵ error.
- Use to learn $\hat{\Sigma}$, an approximation to Σ with $O(\epsilon \log(1/\epsilon))$ error.

Trick

- Consider differences of pairs of samples $G_{2i} G_{2i+1}$.
- Distributed as $N(0, 2\Sigma)$, with 2ϵ error.
- Use to learn $\hat{\Sigma}$, an approximation to Σ with $O(\epsilon \log(1/\epsilon))$ error.
- $\hat{\Sigma}^{-1/2}G \approx N(\hat{\Sigma}^{-1/2}\mu, I)$
 - Treat difference as $O(\epsilon \log(1/\epsilon))$ adversarial error.
 - Use to learn approximation to μ .

Trick

- Consider differences of pairs of samples $G_{2i} G_{2i+1}$.
- Distributed as $N(0, 2\Sigma)$, with 2ϵ error.
- Use to learn $\hat{\Sigma}$, an approximation to Σ with $O(\epsilon \log(1/\epsilon))$ error.
- $\hat{\Sigma}^{-1/2}G \approx N(\hat{\Sigma}^{-1/2}\mu, I)$
 - Treat difference as $O(\epsilon \log(1/\epsilon))$ adversarial error.
 - Use to learn approximation to μ .

Final result: Learn distribution for G to $\tilde{O}(\epsilon)$ error in total variational distance.

Conclusion

We can learn the mean and covariance of an unknown Gaussian robustly. In order to do so, we need to consider the 2nd and 4th moments of the distribution in question. Today we will look into cases where even higher moments are useful.